UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

	Plaintiff(s)		
	V.	CIVIL ACTION	
	v.	NO	
	Defendant(s)		
	REPORT RE: REFE ALTERNATIVE DISPU		
	TO JUDGE		
[]	The above entitled case was reported settled aft	ter referral to the ADR Program, but prior to ADR	
[]	OnI held the following ADR proceeding:		
	SCREENING CONFERENCE	EARLY NEUTRAL EVALUATION	
	MEDIATION	SUMMARY BENCH / JURY TRIAL	
	MINI-TRIAL	SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE	
	All parties were represented by counsel [except]		
		person or by authorized corporate officer [except	
].		
	The case was:		
[]	Settled. Your clerk should enter a day order of dismissal.		
[]	There was progress. A further conference has been scheduled for unless		
	the case is reported settled prior to that date.		
[]	Further efforts to settle this case at this time are, in my judgment, unlikely to be productive. This		
	case should be restored to your trial list.		
[]	Suggested strategy to facilitate settlement:		
	DATE		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

KEES	E	
	Plaintiff(s)	CIVIL ACTION
	V.	NO. 05-10343-GAO
M/V F	PANORMOS, ET AL	NO. <u></u>
	Defendant(s)	
		E: REFERENCE FOR DISPUTE RESOLUTION
	TO JUDGE	O'TOOLE
	The above entitled case was reported so	ettled after referral to the ADR Program, but prior to ADR he following ADR proceeding:
	SCREENING CONFER MEDIATION MINI-TRIAL	_
	All parties were represented by counsel The parties were //were not // pre-	[except] esent in person or by authorized corporate officer [except].
		ce has been scheduled for unless
the case is reported settled prior to that date. Further efforts to settle this case at this time are, in my judgment, unlikely to case should be restored to your trial list. Suggested strategy to facilitate settlement:		time are, in my judgment, unlikely to be productive. This
JULY	16, 2008 DATE	LEO T. SOROKIN, U.S.M.J. ADR Provider